

Rajadharma and the Contemporary Governance in India: Reinvigorating Ancient Indian Ideas in Modern Administration

*Abhradeep Shill

Department of Political Science, Presidency University, Kolkata

Abstract:

The concept of Rajadharma, i.e, the "moral and functional duties of the ruler," forms the cornerstone of ancient Indian political philosophy. This paper investigates the applicability of the ancient Indian concept of *Rajadharma* in addressing the challenges of contemporary governance. The central research question is: How can the core tenets of *Rajadharma* be interpreted to foster ethical, effective, and citizen-centric administration in modern democratic systems? The methodology employs qualitative textual analysis of classical Indian scriptures, including the *Arthashastra* and the *Mahabharata*, to distil its foundational principles. These are then critically examined alongside modern governance frameworks to identify synergies and points of integration. The significance of this study lies in its potential to decolonize governance theory by foregrounding indigenous political thought, offering ethical anchors for policy-making. Expected results indicate that principles such as the ruler's accountability (*Yatha Raja, Tatha Praja*), welfare orientation (*Sarvajan Hitaya, Sarvajan Sukhaya*), and justice can significantly inform modern paradigms of good governance. The study concludes that *Rajadharma* provides a robust, culturally-grounded framework for enhancing public trust, administrative integrity, and sustainable development, making it profoundly relevant for modern administrators.

Keywords: Rajadharma, Good Governance, Shanti Parva, Ethics, Accountability, Kautilya, Public Welfare, Administration, Dharma, Leadership.

Article Publication

Published Online - November 2025

Corresponding Author

Abhradeep Shill
Department of Political Science,
Presidency University, Kolkata

Email:

abhradeepshill2025@gmail.com

© 2025 - published by [Vidhina](#)

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC 4.0](#)

1. Introduction

The quest for **good governance** has become a paramount concern for nations worldwide, with governments seeking effective frameworks that ensure ethical, efficient, and equitable administration. In this context, **ancient Indian political thought** offers a rich repository of wisdom that remains remarkably relevant today. The concept of **Rajadharma** (the duty of rulers) represents a comprehensive framework for governance that transcends mere statecraft to encompass moral

responsibility, welfare orientation, and spiritual foundation. This paper argues that the principles embedded in Rajadharma can address persistent challenges in contemporary governance, including corruption, bureaucratic inefficiency, and citizen disengagement. By examining these **time-honoured principles** alongside modern administrative mechanisms, we can envision a governance model that combines ethical foundations with practical efficacy. The seminar theme "Rajadharma to Good Governance: Ancient Indian Ideas and Modern Administration" invites precisely this exploration—how ancient Indian political wisdom can inform and transform contemporary governance structures. This essay aims to systematically analyse the conceptual foundations of Rajadharma, assess current governance challenges, and propose meaningful integrations of these ancient ideas into modern administrative systems for more ethical, effective, and citizen-centric governance.

2. Literature Review

The scholarly exploration of ancient Indian political thought provides critical insights into the conceptual richness of Rajadharma and its potential applications to contemporary governance. A comprehensive review of seminal works reveals a consistent emphasis on the **ethical foundations** of statecraft and the **welfare orientation** that defined governance in ancient India.

In *'Aspects of Political Ideas and Institutions in Ancient India'*, **Ram Sharan Sharma** meticulously examines the structural and philosophical underpinnings of ancient Indian polity. Sharma highlights how the concept of **Dharma** served as the foundational principle limiting arbitrary power and ensuring rulers remained accountable to a higher moral order. His work illuminates the sophisticated administrative systems that existed during this period, challenging colonial narratives that suggested ancient India lacked systematic political thought. Sharma's analysis of the **Mahabharata's Shanti Parva** proves particularly relevant, revealing profound discussions on the responsibilities of rulers, the relationship between power and righteousness, and the conceptualization of state power as a trust rather than a privilege (Sharma, 1959).

U.N. Ghoshal, in *'A History of Indian Political Ideas'*, traces the evolution of political concepts from the Vedic period through later philosophical developments. Ghoshal's work demonstrates how ancient Indian thinkers established **comprehensive frameworks** for governance that balanced material welfare with spiritual well-being. He documents the gradual systematization of Rajadharma as a distinct branch of knowledge, noting its emphasis on the ruler's moral character as indispensable to effective governance. Ghoshal particularly emphasizes the **dharmic limitations** on royal power, arguing that the concept of divine kingship in India differed significantly from Western notions by incorporating robust mechanisms for holding rulers accountable to ethical standards (Ghoshal, 1959).

In *'State and Government in Ancient India'*, **A.S. Altekar** provides extensive evidence of **administrative structures** and practices that operationalized Rajadharma in daily governance. His work reveals sophisticated systems of local self-government, revenue administration, and judicial organization that ensured effective implementation of policies while maintaining checks on power concentration. Altekar's research uncovers the **democratic elements** within ancient Indian polities, noting various forms of republican governments and popular assemblies that participated in governance. This challenges the monolithic view of ancient Indian polity as exclusively monarchical and highlights the diversity of political systems that coexisted while sharing fundamental dharmic principles (Altekar, 1949).

V.P. Varma's *'Ancient Indian Political Thought'* offers a philosophical exploration of the moral and ethical dimensions of Rajadharma. Varma emphasizes the **holistic conception of welfare** in ancient Indian thought, which transcended material prosperity to encompass spiritual well-being and cosmic harmony. His analysis of key texts reveals how Rajadharma was conceived not merely as a political doctrine but as a comprehensive worldview integrating individual duty, social responsibility, and cosmic order. Varma particularly notes the **psychological insights** embedded in these texts, which recognized the potential for power to corrupt and therefore established elaborate ethical safeguards (Varma, 1974).

In *'Ancient India'*, **Upinder Singh** provides a historiographical analysis that situates political thought within broader social and economic contexts. Singh's work illuminates how ideas of governance evolved in response to changing material conditions, demonstrating the **pragmatic adaptability** of Rajadharma across different historical periods. She notes the continuity of certain core principles—particularly the emphasis on justice, consultation, and welfare—even as administrative systems transformed over centuries. Singh's evidence of public philanthropy and welfare initiatives sponsored by rulers illustrates how Rajadharma translated into practical governance measures that addressed societal needs (Singh, 2009).

Together, these scholarly works establish Rajadharma as a sophisticated system of political thought that balanced ethical imperatives with practical statecraft. They reveal its **emphasis on ruler accountability**, its **welfare-oriented approach**, and its **comprehensive view of well-being** that integrated material and spiritual dimensions. The consistency with which these themes appear across different historical periods and textual traditions suggests their enduring relevance, providing a robust foundation for examining their application to contemporary governance challenges.

3. Research Methodology

This qualitative study examines Rajadharma's relevance to modern governance via textual and conceptual historical analysis. It analyses primary texts—the Mahabharata's Shanti Parva, Arthashastra, and Manusmriti—for their governance principles. A comparative method identifies

parallels with contemporary concepts like good governance and administrative accountability. The research also incorporates case studies of current Indian administrative challenges. Tracing the historical evolution of these ideas reveals their core and adaptive elements. This multi-faceted framework robustly assesses how this ancient political wisdom could inform solutions to modern governance issues, while acknowledging significant contextual differences.

4. Objectives of Research

- **Systematically analyse conceptual foundations** of Rajadharma and examine their correlation with modern governance principles.
- **Develop integrative governance frameworks** that combine the ethical foundations of Rajadharma with modern administrative mechanisms.
- **Examine the Indian perspective** on social contract theory as evidenced in ancient texts to understand its distinctive features compared to Western social contract theories.
- **Evaluate specific case studies** of governance failures and successes in contemporary India.
- **Propose practical implementation strategies** for incorporating Rajadharma principles into legal frameworks, institutional designs, and accountability mechanisms.

5. Research Questions

The study revolves around the following questions:

- How can Rajadharma's **ethical foundations address systemic corruption**?
- How does its **holistic welfare inform development**?
- To what extent do **ancient accountability** concepts strengthen democracy?
- How can **dharmic statecraft reform bureaucracy** for efficiency and ethics?
- What **mechanisms** integrate these principles via judicial review, rule of law, and right to information?

6. Origin of Social Contract Theory: Indian Perspective

The social contract theory, often associated with Western philosophers like Hobbes, Locke, and Rousseau, finds distinct expression in ancient Indian political thought, offering a unique perspective on the relationship between rulers and the ruled. Ancient Indian texts present sophisticated

conceptualizations of political authority that anticipate many elements of social contract theory while grounding them in dharmic foundations. The **Mahabharata's Shanti Parva** provides one of the most elaborate explications of this concept, describing how in the original state of nature (considered a state of plenty and virtue), humanity gradually declined into conflict and disorder due to rising greed and desire. This led to a collective agreement to establish kingship as an institution for protection and justice, creating a mutual covenant where people agreed to provide a portion of their wealth to the ruler in return for protection and administration of justice. This narrative establishes governance as a **voluntary collective agreement** rather than a divinely ordained hierarchy (Ganguli, 1896).

Manusmriti offers another significant perspective on the social contract, conceptualizing kingship as a divine institution with explicitly defined responsibilities. According to Manusmriti, the king was created by the gods through a process that incorporated divine essences from various deities, making him a formidable figure capable of maintaining order. However, this divine origin came with explicit conditions—the king's primary duty was to protect the subjects and administer justice impartially. Failure to fulfil these responsibilities nullified the subjects' obligation to obey, establishing a **reciprocal relationship** based on performance of duties rather than unconditional authority. The text explicitly states that a king who fails to protect his subjects or who governs unjustly forfeits his legitimacy, articulating an early theory of accountable governance (Bühler, 1886).

Kautilya's Arthashastra presents a more pragmatic version of the social contract, focusing on the mutual benefits of the ruler-ruled relationship. Kautilya famously stated that "the king's happiness lies in the happiness of his subjects; his welfare is in their welfare; he should not do what pleases him but what is beneficial for his subjects". This utilitarian formulation establishes governance as a **fiduciary relationship** where the ruler functions as a trustee of public welfare rather than an absolute sovereign. The Arthashastra outlines detailed administrative mechanisms and accountability structures to ensure the ruler remains focused on public good, including systems for grievance redressal, regular inspection of administration, and severe punishments for officials who exploit citizens. Kautilya's conception acknowledges the necessity of state power while simultaneously constraining it through dharmic obligations and practical administrative controls (Shamasastri, 1915).

These ancient Indian conceptualizations of social contract differ from Western versions in several significant aspects. First, they place greater emphasis on the **ruler's positive obligations** rather than primarily focusing on limiting state power. Second, they integrate the social contract within a broader cosmic and moral framework (Dharma) that transcends mere human agreement. Third, they conceptualize welfare in holistic terms that include spiritual well-being alongside material prosperity. The distinctive features of the Indian perspective on social contract theory highlight the rich intellectual tradition of political thought in ancient India and offer valuable insights for contemporary discussions on governance legitimacy, authority, and accountability.

Table: Comparing Social Contract Theories

Aspect	Western Theory	Indian Perspective
Foundation	Human rationality & consent	Dharma & cosmic order
Primary Focus	Protection of rights & liberty	Holistic welfare (material & spiritual)
Ruler's Role	Necessary evil to be constrained	Positive trustee with duties
Accountability	Through consent & rebellion	Through dharma & performance
Concept of Welfare	Individual rights & property	Social harmony & cosmic balance

7. Issues in Contemporary Indian Governance: Challenges to Rajadharma

Contemporary Indian governance presents a stark contrast to the ideals of Rajadharma, with several systemic challenges undermining administrative effectiveness and public trust. These issues not only represent practical governance failures but also signify a fundamental departure from the dharmic principles that historically guided Indian statecraft.

7.1 Corruption and Large-Scale Scams

Perhaps the most glaring deviation from Rajadharma principles is the **endemic corruption** that has permeated various levels of governance. The last two decades have witnessed numerous large-scale scams that reveal systemic ethical collapse, directly contradicting the Rajadharma ideal that "the king's happiness lies in the happiness of his subjects". The **2G spectrum allocation** case, the **SSC scam** case in West Bengal, the **Coal Block allocation** scandal, the **Commonwealth Games** irregularities, and the **PNB fraud** represent just a few examples where massive public

resources were allegedly diverted for private gain. These incidents reflect a complete inversion of the Rajadharma principle that leaders should enrich the treasury through just means rather than exploiting their position for personal enrichment. The scale and brazenness of these corrupt practices suggest an administrative culture where ethical constraints have been severely weakened, allowing those in power to prioritize personal gain over public welfare—the exact opposite of the dharmic ideal of selfless service (niṣkāmbhāva).

7.2 Bureaucratic Inefficiency

The ancient Indian administrative system, as detailed in Kautilya's Arthashastra and other texts, emphasized efficient, responsive, and decentralized administration. The contemporary Indian bureaucracy, however, is often characterized by **procedural delays**, **hierarchical rigidities**, and **public unresponsiveness**. The elaborate administrative terminology from ancient times—with precise designations like Samahatṛ (Chancellor), Samnidhatṛ (Treasurer), Mahakshatapatālika (Comptroller Auditor General), and Dharmastha (Judge)—reflected a sophisticated understanding of specialized administrative functions. Today, bureaucratic inefficiency manifests in delayed project implementations, cumbersome approval processes, and weak inter-departmental coordination. This inefficiency represents a sharp departure from the Rajadharma emphasis on competent administration where officials were appointed based on merit, character, and capability rather than political connections or seniority alone. The Arthashastra's detailed provisions for regular inspection of administration, grievance redressal mechanisms, and performance evaluation of officials highlight how seriously administrative efficiency was taken in ancient Indian political thought.

7.3 Citizen Disengagement

Rajadharma envisioned an active relationship between rulers and citizens, with multiple mechanisms for consultation and feedback. Contemporary governance, however, often suffers from **procedural formalism** where citizen participation remains limited to periodic elections rather than ongoing engagement. Ancient texts describe various forms of public consultation, including the existence of sabhas and samitis (assemblies) that provided platforms for citizen input in governance decisions. The modern administrative state has often reduced citizens to passive recipients of services rather than active participants in governance, contrary to the dharmic ideal of reciprocal relationships between rulers and ruled. This disengagement is particularly evident in urban governance and planning processes, where technocratic decision-making often marginalizes community knowledge and preferences, leading to policies and projects that fail to address actual ground-level needs and aspirations.

7.4 Erosion of Ethical Foundations

The most fundamental challenge to Rajadharma principles lies in the **separation of governance from ethical foundations**. Ancient Indian political thought consistently emphasized the integration of spiritual wisdom (Brahma teja) with temporal power (Kshatra teja) to prevent the abuse of authority. Contemporary governance models, influenced by technocratic and positivist approaches, have largely severed this connection, treating governance as a value-neutral technical exercise rather than a moral undertaking. This separation has enabled the justification of ethically questionable decisions through procedural technicalities or utilitarian calculations. The Mahabharata's warning against haughty and ruthless rulers who become slaves to wealth rather than servants of Dharma finds eerie resonance in contemporary patterns where political power is often leveraged for economic gain. The text's poignant observation that "man is the slave of wealth, and wealth is nobody's slave" captures the ethical dilemma facing modern governance systems where material considerations often override moral imperatives.

These contemporary governance challenges highlight the continuing relevance of Rajadharma principles. They suggest that technical and procedural reforms alone may be insufficient without a corresponding ethical foundation that guides the exercise of power and authority. The persistent gap between governance ideals and realities in modern India underscores the need to reintegrate the wisdom embedded in ancient Indian political thought with contemporary administrative systems.

8. Findings

This research yields several significant findings regarding the relevance and application of Rajadharma principles to contemporary governance in India, pointing toward potential pathways for more ethical and effective administration.

8.1 Contemporary Relevance of Rajadharma

The study reveals that **Rajadharma principles** retain profound relevance for addressing contemporary governance challenges. The emphasis on the ruler's moral character and duty-bound governance provides a corrective framework for systemic issues like corruption and abuse of power. The conceptualization of the ruler as a **servant of the people** rather than their master, as explicitly stated in Kautilya's Arthashastra, offers a powerful ethical foundation for public service. Similarly, the Rajadharma ideal of **holistic welfare**—encompassing material, spiritual, and ecological well-being—offers a more comprehensive development framework than narrowly economic approaches. This holistic perspective aligns with emerging paradigms of sustainable development and well-being economies that recognize the limitations of GDP-focused growth measurements. The research finds

that the dharmic emphasis on **selfless service** (niṣkāmbhāva) and prioritization of public good over private interest directly addresses the ethical deficit in contemporary governance systems.

8.2 Judicial Activism and Review

The analysis of **judicial activism** in India reveals how the judiciary has increasingly assumed the role of enforcing Rajadharma-like principles of accountability and public welfare, especially when other branches of government have failed. As noted in studies of judicial activism, the Indian judiciary, particularly the Supreme Court, has often intervened to protect citizen rights and ensure executive accountability (Saha, 2019). This judicial role echoes the ancient concept of the ruler as the ultimate protector of Dharma, with courts increasingly functioning as institutional mechanisms for ensuring governance conforms to constitutional and ethical principles. The expansion of **Public Interest Litigation** (PIL) has been particularly significant in this regard, enabling the judiciary to address systemic governance failures and protect vulnerable populations. This development represents a modern institutional manifestation of the Rajadharma principle that the ultimate responsibility for justice and welfare lies with the sovereign power, whether monarchical or constitutional.

8.3 Rule of Law in India

The research confirms that **Dicey's concept of Rule of Law** has been thoroughly incorporated into the Indian constitutional framework, with significant enhancements through judicial interpretation (Dicey, 1885). The Supreme Court has explicitly declared rule of law to be part of the **basic structure doctrine** in **Keshavananda Bharati case**, making it immune from parliamentary amendment (*Keshavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala*, AIR 1971 SC 1461, Supreme Court of India 1973). This constitutional principle resonates strongly with Rajadharma's emphasis on the supremacy of law over arbitrary power. The Indian conception of rule of law has evolved beyond Dicey's original formulation to encompass substantive justice and human dignity, moving closer to the dharmic understanding of law as an instrument of justice rather than mere procedure. The integration of fundamental rights and directive principles in the Indian Constitution creates a framework that parallels Rajadharma's simultaneous emphasis on rights and duties, power and responsibility. The judicial assertion that "our entire constitutional system is founded on the rule of law, and in any system so designed it is impossible to conceive of legitimate power which is arbitrary in character" directly echoes the ancient injunction against arbitrary governance.

8.4 E-Governance and Right to Information

The emergence of **e-governance initiatives** and the **Right to Information Act** represent modern tools that operationalize ancient Rajadharma principles of transparency, accountability, and citizen participation. E-governance platforms, such as the National e-Governance Plan, leverage technology

to make administration more efficient, accessible, and transparent. Similarly, the Right to Information Act has empowered citizens to scrutinize government functioning, creating a practical mechanism for enforcing official accountability. These developments represent contemporary institutional applications of the Rajadharma principle that governance should be conducted for public welfare with maximum transparency. They operationalize the ancient ideal that the ruler remains accountable to the ruled, using modern technology and legal frameworks to create mechanisms that ancient thinkers could only conceptualize theoretically.

8.5 People as the Preserver of Democracy

Moreover, it is none other than **the people of India who are the real protector of democracy** as they are committed enough to preserve the long sought democracy any time it is being endangered by democratically elected tyrannical leaders- common people have shown that there cannot be a permanent 'regime' in Indian democracy from time to time be it the discontinuation of the so called 'Congress System' after 1975 or the dismantling of the 35 years long legacy of the Left Front government in West Bengal in 2011. It is the invaluable role played by the common masses that the democracy is intact and long lasting in India unlike its extreme neighbours- there always remains a ray of hope and opportunity even if one of the organs of the government becomes stagnant in Indian democracy, thanks to the prudence of our Constitution makers.

Table: Rajadharma Principles and Contemporary Correlates

Rajadharma Principle	Contemporary Governance correlate
Dharma as foundation	Rule of Law as basic structure
King's accountability	Judicial review & RTI
Welfare orientation	Social justice & welfare schemes
Selfless service	Public service ethics & codes

Rajadharma Principle**Contemporary Governance correlate**

Holistic well-being

Sustainable development goals

In conclusion, this research demonstrates that Rajadharma provides a robust conceptual framework for addressing persistent governance challenges in contemporary India. Its principles of ethical governance, ruler accountability, holistic welfare, and selfless service offer valuable correctives to current administrative deficiencies. When integrated with modern mechanisms like judicial activism, rule of law, e-governance, and right to information, these ancient ideas can contribute significantly to building more ethical, effective, and citizen-centric governance systems. The continuing relevance of Rajadharma lies not in literal transplantation of ancient systems, but in adapting its foundational principles to contemporary constitutional and administrative contexts, creating a synthesis that honours India's rich political thought while addressing modern governance challenges.

References

1. Altekar, A. S. (1949). *State and government in ancient India*. Motilal Banarsidass.
2. Dicey, A. V. (1885). *Introduction to the study of the law of the constitution*. Macmillan and Co.
3. Ghoshal, U. N. (1959). *A history of Indian political ideas*. Oxford University Press.
4. Kautilya. (c. 350–275 BCE). *Arthashastra*. (R. Shamasastri, Trans.). (1915). Government Press.
5. *Keshavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala*, AIR 1971 SC 1461 (Supreme Court of India 1973).
6. *Mahabharata*. (c. 400 BCE–400 CE). (K. M. Ganguli, Trans.). (1883-1896). Pratap Chandra Roy.
7. *Manusmriti*. (c. 2nd century BCE–3rd century CE). (G. Bühler, Trans.). (1886). Clarendon Press.
8. Saha, A. (2019). Judicial activism in India: A critical analysis. *International Journal of Law and Legal Jurisprudence Studies*, 4(2), 45-58.
9. Sharma, R. S. (1959). *Aspects of political ideas and institutions in ancient India*. Motilal Banarsidass.
10. Singh, U. (2009). *A history of ancient and early medieval India: From the Stone Age to the 12th century*. Pearson Education.
11. Varma, V. P. (1974). *Studies in Hindu political thought and its metaphysical foundations*. Motilal Banarsidass.